DIPLOMA OF FELLOWSHIP

GENERAL FELLOWSHIP EXAMINATION
Aug/Oct 2008

REPORT

This report is prepared to provide candidates, tutors and their Supervisors of training with information about the way in which the Examiners assessed the performance of candidates in the Examination. Answers provided are not model answers but guides to what was expected. Candidates should discuss the report with their tutors so that they may prepare appropriately for the future examinations.

The exam included two 2.5 hour written papers comprising of 15 ten-minute short answer questions each. Candidates were required to score at least 50% in the written paper before being eligible to sit the oral part of the exam. The oral exam comprised 8 interactive vivas, and two separate hot cases.

This is the second examination with the new regulations which came into force in 2008. The main changes to this exam were:

a) Incorporation of the unmanned part of the OSCE into the written paper. The following were assessed. (Blood gases, Haematology, Coagulation, Clinical methods, Microbiology, Infectious diseases, Clinical Photographs, Equipment, Monitoring, Toxicology, Endocrinology, ECG and Pacemaker)
b) A minimum mark of 50% in the written paper was required to be invited to the oral section
c) The procedure and communication stations were incorporated into the vivas
d) The radiology station was also incorporated into the vivas as a manned station.
e) The cold cases were not included in the examination
f) The threshold for a bad fail in the clinical was increased from 30% to 40%.

The tables below provide an overall statistical analysis as well as information regarding performance in the individual sections. A comparison with Apr 08 data is also provided.
OVERALL STATISTICS

Table 1-Overall performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Oct 08</th>
<th>Apr 08</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Total number of candidates presenting for the Examination (b+c+d)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Total number of candidates appearing for the written exam</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Number of candidates carrying the written mark from a previous attempt</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Number of OTS candidates – eligible to appear for the vivas directly</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Number of candidates scoring &gt; 50%</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) Total number invited to the vivas based on written marks</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h) Total number invited to the vivas (c+d+e)</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i) Total number approved</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j) Pass rate (as a percentage of those presenting for the written + eligible from previous exam – (i/a*100)</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k) Pass rate (as a percentage of those presenting to the vivas (i/h*100)</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l) Pass rate amongst those who scored ≥50% in the written paper (33/39)</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Analysis of performance in individual sections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Oct 08</th>
<th>Apr 08</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Pass rate in the written paper (39/53)</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Pass rate in the viva section (47/52)</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Pass rate in the clinical section (31/52)</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of candidates passing both hot cases (21/52)</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Detailed statistics for the written paper

1) Highest aggregate mark in the written paper – 70%
2) In no question was there a 100% pass rate.
3) In 7 of the 30 questions, the pass rate was < 50%.

Detailed statistics for the clinical / oral component

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Pass rate</th>
<th>Highest individual mark for the station</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CROSS TABLE VIVAS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viva 1 - Inotrope /pressor usage</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viva 2 – Ventilation wean</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viva 3 – Nutrition</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viva 4 – Febrile critically ill patient</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viva 5 – Neurotrauma</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viva 6- Communication</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viva 7 - Radiology</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viva 8 - Procedure</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CLINICALS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot Case 1</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot Case 2</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Breakdown of reasons for failure in the examination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of candidates who failed the examination</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of candidates who scored less than 50% in the written paper</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of candidates who failed after presenting to the oral section</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reasons for failure in the oral section of the examination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Proportion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of candidates failing to score a total of 50% in the exam overall (7/10)</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of candidates who failed because of failure in &gt; 1 section (4/10)</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of candidates who scored a “bad fail in the clinicals -&lt;40%)” (3/10)</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A comparative pass rates over the last 7 years is provided below.

The courts of examiners made the following observations with regards to the performance of the candidates and suggest that candidates appearing for the exams in the future take note of these recommendations.
Written section

- Candidates appeared to score well in questions related to data interpretation, but scored poorly on other questions particularly in areas which are currently topical but are not discrete chapters or section in textbooks –
- It was disappointing to note the low pass rate in the question related to clinical methods.
- It is recommended that candidates prepare for this exam with a broad approach and use not only just a text book as the source, but review articles, and editorials etc from appropriate journals.

Clinical Section

Although the overall performance this exam was an improvement on the Apr 2008 sitting, the performance in the clinical section continues to raise major concerns.

- The pass rate in the hot cases was < 50%.
- Trainees are reminded that from Apr 2008, the clinical section in the exam carries a higher mark (30%) and the threshold mark for a severe fail in the clinical section has increased from 30% to 40%.
- A pass in the clinical section had a predictive value of 100% for a pass in the entire examination. The importance of performing well in the clinical section of the exam cannot be overstated. Besides it relative weight in the examination marking scheme, hot cases are integral to our practice and regular practice practising presentation under exam conditions at least once a week and more frequently as the exam approaches) is recommended.
- Many candidates had failed to adequately prepare for the clinical examination. Under the pressure of the exam, the deficient clinical skills of poorly prepared candidates’ become obvious to the examiners. Candidates should take care to listen to the examiners’ instruction and focus their examination, at least initially, towards the questions asked. The best candidates were very specific in what they examined and their discussion presentation revolved around the question asked. Some of the reasons for failure in the clinical included:

a) missing clinical signs
b) inability to present in a cogent manner
c) Lack of ability to put the fundamental aspects of the case together

d) Inability to put forward a big picture scenario
e) Many candidates repeated non-essential findings in their discussion, and their examination and presentation were often not targeted to the question.
f) Candidates need to be aware of the dangers of confabulation. Manufacturing signs that do not exist is of significant concern. Candidates should be aware that there may not be many signs to elicit, particularly in hot cases – in fact, the absence of signs may be the most significant finding.
g) Whilst it is important to start with a general observation of the patient, pumps, etc, candidates often took too long to get to the patient.
Future candidates need to focus on practising their clinical approach until it becomes efficient and effective and their clinical examination technique is so well entrenched that it can survive the stress of the Fellowship exam!

Candidates are also reminded of the need to have completed a supervised assessment on 4 hot cases and have it documented prior to application for each examination. The spirit of this regulation is to engender a culture of regular clinical presentations, assessments and feedback and it is recommended that candidates continue to present beyond the minimum required number of 4 cases to assist with their preparation.

4) Vivas: Viva stations traditionally are high scoring sections. It was disappointing that in 2 of the 8 stations, the pass rate was < 60%.

**Reasons for failure in the vivas include**

- Knowledge deficit
- Failure to recognise clinically significant issues

From 2009, candidates are advised that the X-ray station in the vivas may include both hard copies and digital images.
1. A 35 year old female is 39 weeks pregnant. Her pregnancy has been complicated by hypertension and proteinuria. Her blood pressure is 160/120 mm Hg. You are called to the labour ward when she suffers a generalised ("grand mal") convulsion. Outline your overall plan of management.

**Initial management**
- ABC
- Left side
- Terminate the seizure
  - a. Diazepam 5-10mg or Mg 4g IV up to 8 g
- Monitors / investigations

**Management of Hypertension**
- Hydralazine
- Labetalol
  (Other agents are acceptable – late in pregnancy – increasing trend to use “mainstream” agents)

**Treatment of convulsions**
- MgSO4 bolus followed by maintenance MgSO4
  (Shown to be more effective than phenytoin or diazepam in preventing recurrent seizures)
- Addition of Benzodiazepine / Barbiturate if recurrent seizures despite MgSO4
Planning for delivery
Brief period of resuscitation once seizures controlled

Post partum management
Continue anti-convulsants until patient improves (diuresis, fall in BP)

Pass rate 64%

2. With reference to base of skull fractures following trauma:
   a) List 5 clinical signs commonly associated with base of skull fractures.
   b) List 3 life threatening complications specifically associated with base of skull fractures.
   c) Briefly outline the role of prophylactic antibiotics in the management of base of skull fractures.

a) List 5 clinical signs commonly associated with base of skull fractures.
   1) CSF rhinorrhoea
   2) CSF otorrhoea
   3) Battle’s sign
   4) Raccoon eyes
   5) Haemotympanum
   6) Cranial nerve palsies.

b) List 3 life threatening complications of base of skull fractures
   Panhypopituitarism
   Basal meningitis
   Carotid artery trauma or pseudoaneurysms
   Cavernous sinus thrombosis

c) Briefly outline the role of prophylactic antibiotics in the management of base of skull fractures.
   BOS # predispose patients to meningitis because of possible direct contact of bacteria in paranasal sinuses, nasopharynx or middle ear with CNS. Also CSF leak is associated with a greater risk of contacting meningitis. Few RCTs exist and the primary end point was a reduction in meningitis.

   1) No role for prophylactic antibiotic therapy whether there is CSF leak or not.
   2) Do not reduce the risk of meningitis.

Pass rate 62%
3.1 A 43 year old man, with no history of previous illnesses is admitted with septic shock requiring administration of high dose vasopressor. His blood results on 40% oxygen, pressure support ventilation are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Normal range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.64</td>
<td>7.35-7.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaCO₂</td>
<td>28 mmHg (3.7 kPa)</td>
<td>35-45 mmHg (4.7-6.0 kPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaO₂</td>
<td>189 mmHg (25.2 kPa)</td>
<td>75-98 mmHg (10.0-13.0 kPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual bicarbonate</td>
<td>29 mmol/l</td>
<td>22-26 mmol/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>147 mmol/l</td>
<td>134-145 mmol/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium</td>
<td>3.5 mmol/l</td>
<td>3.5-5.1 mmol/l</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Describe the acid-base abnormality
b. List 3 likely causes of each acid-base abnormality in this patient.

a. Describe the acid-base abnormality

Respiratory and metabolic alkalosis

b. List 3 likely causes of each acid-base abnormality in this patient.

Respiratory alkalosis: Hyperventilation – spontaneous or IPPV induced, septic encephalopathy, pneumonia
Metabolic alkalosis: Diuretics, volume contraction, upper GI losses, steroids.

3.2 A 41 year old man is admitted to your Emergency Department, unconscious, with the first set of blood results. The second set of blood gases are taken 1 hour later.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Initial values</th>
<th>1 hour later</th>
<th>Normal range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.05</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>7.35-7.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaCO₂</td>
<td>34 mmHg (4.6 kPa)</td>
<td>39 mmHg (5.2 kPa)</td>
<td>35-45 mmHg (4.7-6.0 kPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaO₂</td>
<td>203 mmHg (33.6 kPa)</td>
<td>94 mmHg (12.5 kPa)</td>
<td>75-98 mmHg (10.0-13.0 kPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual bicarbonate</td>
<td>9 mmol/l</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22-26 mmol/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>137 mmol/l</td>
<td></td>
<td>134-145 mmol/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium</td>
<td>4.2 mmol/l</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.5-5.1 mmol/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>11.2 mmol/l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ionised Calcium</td>
<td>1.21 mmol/l</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.15-2.55 mmol/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>105 mmol/L</td>
<td></td>
<td>95-105 mmol/L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
a. Describe the initial acid-base disturbance
b. List 3 clinical scenarios which may produce such a pattern of arterial blood gas derangement?

3.2a. The initial acid-base disturbance is a mixed metabolic and respiratory acidosis with a raised anion gap.

3.2b. Seizures
   - Resuscitated cardiac arrest
   - Near drowning
   - Near hanging

3.3 A 48 year old diabetic with a history of alcohol abuse is admitted with abdominal pain and the following results:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Normal range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>7.35-7.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaCO₂</td>
<td>8 mmHg (1.1 kPa)</td>
<td>35-45 mmHg (4.7-6.0 kPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaO₂</td>
<td>149 mmHg (20 kPa)</td>
<td>75-98 mmHg (10.0-13.0 kPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual bicarbonate</td>
<td>1.4 mmol/l</td>
<td>22-26 mmol/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactate</td>
<td>16 mmol/l</td>
<td>&lt;2 mmol/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>142 mmol/l</td>
<td>134-145 mmol/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium</td>
<td>4.7 mmol/l</td>
<td>3.5-5.1 mmol/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea</td>
<td>14 mmol/l</td>
<td>3.4-8.9 mmol/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine</td>
<td>170 micromol/L</td>
<td>(60-110 micromol/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST</td>
<td>60 (&lt;40 U/L)</td>
<td>(&lt;40 U/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>(&lt;40 U/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDH</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>50-150 U/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total bilirubin</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4-25 micromol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>6.5 mmol/l</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum osmolality</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>275-295 mOsm/kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Give the three most likely diagnoses
b. List two additional investigations that you would perform based on the above information

3.3  
a) Diagnoses: 3 ischaemic bowel, 2 metformin induced lactic acidosis, thiamine deficiency, pancreatitis.

b) Two of the following investigations: Diagnostic laparoscopy or laparotomy, CT abdomen, red cell transketolase, lipase

Pass rate 62%
4. List the ways in which the paediatric airway differs from the adult airway. Outline how these influence your management.

Anatomic paediatric airways offer significant potential challenges to the critical care practitioner. Factors to consider include:

- Absolute size of airway (including trachea), small mandible, large tongue (use of chart, formula \[\text{age}/4 + 4 \text{ mm if } > 1 \text{ yr}\] or Braselow measurement tape to allow sizing of ETT, and depth estimates essential \[\text{age}/2 + 12 \text{ cm from lower lip}\]; often need smaller blade [narrower, shorter]; concern about tracheostomy)
- Large head (neck already flexed, not need pillow or as much head extension for intubation and airway management)
- Epiglottis long and stiff and may obscure view (may need to include epiglottis under laryngoscope blade, or consider using straight blade)
- Larynx high, anterior and the narrowest point is usually the laryngeal outlet/cricoid cartilage (often use uncuffed tubes, increased concern about laryngeal stenosis)

Other specific management concerns related to the small size of the artificial airways include: importance of fixation (ease of dislodgement), increased likelihood of blockage, circuit/mechanics to minimise work of breathing.

5. Critically evaluate the role of routine daily interruption of sedation for all mechanically ventilated patients.

- A daily interruption of sedation may have a role in preventing over-sedation and reducing the duration of mechanical ventilation in selected mechanically ventilated ICU patients. (a reasonable opening summary statement)

Evidence:

There are two clinical trials of interruption of sedation that show
- significant reduction in duration of ventilation (0.5)
- duration of ICU stay (0.5)
- fewer CNS investigations. (0.5)

- Follow up studies suggested that there was a decrease in a range of complications including:
  - VAP, Upper GIT haemorrhage, bacteraemia, venous thromboembolic disease and sinusitis) in patients who received a daily interruption of sedation. (1)
  - There is also a single observational study of longer term outcomes that suggests that a daily scheduled interruption of sedation is not associated with worse psychological outcomes. (0.5)
Candidates were awarded extra marks if they mentioned the names of the two big RCTs or if they offered a critical appraisal of the most recent evidence.

- Kress 2000 NEJM
- Girard, Lancet 2008 or Awake and Breathing Controlled (ABC) study
- Critical appraisal of the Girard RCT: appropriate randomisation with allocation concealment, intention to treat analysis, unblinded

Potential problems:

Routine daily interruption of sedation is not appropriate for all patients, for example;
- Those with poorly controlled intracranial pressure
- Those requiring controlled ventilation
- Those in whom self extubation is particularly dangerous (e.g., those intubated for airway obstruction)
- Those patients receiving therapy that is likely to be particularly distressing (burns, permissive hypercapnia)

Summary statement

- Due to the risks involved, particularly the risk of self-extubation (10% is a lot!) the use of the protocol would need to be adapted to local circumstances. Studies to date have been performed in the USA. It could be disastrous if a patient self-extubated when there were not sufficiently skilled personnel available to appropriately manage the patient’s airway. Thus “routine” daily interruption may not be necessary if the ICU is closed and the level of sedation required is assessed daily by skilled and knowledgeable staff.

Pass rate 21%

6.1 A 57 year old female has the following haematology and coagulation profile post admission to the intensive care unit after a laparotomy for intraabdominal sepsis with significant blood loss.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Normal Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WCC</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>3.5 – 11.00 x10^9/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>115 – 165 g/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>150–400 x10^9/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>12.0 – 15 Sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INR</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.8 – 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APTT</td>
<td>122.5</td>
<td>25.0 – 37.0 Sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrinogen</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.20-4.30g/L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
a) What is the most likely cause of the coagulation abnormalities?

Haemodilution with inadequate replacement of blood and clotting factors DIC

b) How would you correct the coagulopathy and briefly provide a reason for your choice of therapy or therapies?

Ensure patient is normothermic
Exclude ongoing surgical haemorrhage
Platelets to increase platelet count
FFP to replace factors II, V, VII, IX, X, and XI.
Cryoprecipitate to replace factor VIII, and fibrinogen if FFP does not reverse INR.
Activated Factor 7

6.2 A 44 year old male presents with dyspnoea and is diagnosed as having multiple pulmonary emboli on Computerised tomography pulmonary angiogram (CTPA). He is commenced on 1000 units of heparin per hour after a 5000 unit bolus. During the night his heparin has steadily increased to 1500 units per hour. These blood results are from the next morning.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Normal range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>12 sec</td>
<td>12-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APTT</td>
<td>*38.3sec</td>
<td>25.0-37.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrinogen</td>
<td>3.8 g/L</td>
<td>2.20-4.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-DIM LIA</td>
<td>* &gt;20.0 mcg/ml</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) Give 2 reasons for the relatively low APTT despite heparin therapy

1. Inadequate heparinisation  
2. ATIII deficiency  
3. Increased heparin clearance  
4. Increased heparin binding proteins  
(1.5 marks)

b) List 4 causes for an increased predisposition to venous thromboembolic disease

Protein C def  
Protein S def  
AT III def  
Malignancy  
Factor V Leiden  
Lupus anticoagulant
6.3) A 58 year old male is admitted with haematemesis. His coagulation profile is shown below. List 2 likely causes of his coagulation abnormalities?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Normal range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>*25.9 sec</td>
<td>(12-14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APTT</td>
<td>*39 sec</td>
<td>(34-38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INR</td>
<td>*2.4</td>
<td>(0.8-1.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Liver dysfunction due to alcoholic or viral liver disease.
2) Vit K deficiency
3) Patient on warfarin therapy.

7.1 List the classic cardiac auscultatory signs of atrial septal defect, ventricular septal defect and patent ductus arteriosus. What typical findings on a right heart catheterization will also support your diagnosis? (You may tabulate your answer)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASD</th>
<th>VSD</th>
<th>PDA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fixed split of second heart sound</td>
<td>Harsh pansystolic murmur confined to the left sternal edge</td>
<td>A continuous murmur heard over the pulmonary area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid diastolic flow murmur over tricuspid area if significant shunt</td>
<td>Mid diastolic flow murmur over mitral area if significant shunt</td>
<td>Mid diastolic flow murmur over mitral area if significant shunt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step up in oxygen saturation at atrial level</td>
<td>Step up in oxygen saturation at ventricular level</td>
<td>Step up in oxygen saturation at pulmonary artery level</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.2 The following assessment was made on the visual field testing of a patient who presented with impaired vision.

(The darkened halves of the fields indicate the area of impaired vision).

a) What does the visual field testing indicate?
Rt. homonymous hemianopia
b) List 3 likely anatomical sites of lesion which may result in this visual defect.

Left optic tract
Left optic radiation
Left occipital lobe

7.3) A patient presented with massive abdominal distension. On examination, a fluid thrill was present. List three clinical diagnoses, which may produce these findings.

Candidates listing 3 correct differentials for a fluid thrill (see a-c below) or providing 3 conditions which may result in massive ascites (see d-f below) were awarded full marks.

a) Massive ascites
b) Massive ovarian cyst
c) Pregnancy with hydramnios
d) Severe liver disease
e) Budd-Chiari syndrome
f) Severe right heart failure or pericardial constriction
g) Abdominal malignancy.

Pass rate 47%

8. Outline the advantages and limitations of the various sites for measuring body temperature in critically ill patients. (You may tabulate your answer).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PAC</td>
<td>Considered gold standard, continuous measurement</td>
<td>Invasive, needs a PA catheter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bladder</td>
<td>Continuous measurement, minimally invasive, stable measurements regardless of urine flow rates</td>
<td>Costly, needs a monitor for display.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectal probe</td>
<td>Intermittent or continuous measurements</td>
<td>Few tenths of a degree higher than core temperature, intrusive, may be difficult with patient positioning in ICU, risk of spread of pathogens, rectal trauma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oesophageal</td>
<td>Provide continuous readings</td>
<td>Probe position difficult to confirm as they are not always radio-opaque, risk of oesophageal trauma or perforation, uncomfortable in spontaneous or alert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method</td>
<td>Temperature Measurement</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tympanic</td>
<td>Reflects hypothalamic and core temperature.</td>
<td>Poor agreement with other methods, presence of wax or ear pathology may distort measurements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasopharyngeal</td>
<td>Similar to oesophageal</td>
<td>Sinusitis, can’t be used in BOS #. Accuracy depends on position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>Safe, convenient, and familiarity</td>
<td>Needs cooperative patients, presence of ET and oro gastric tubes may limit this in ICU patients, mouth breathing, drinking hot or cold fluids may distort measurements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forehead</td>
<td>Dot technique, non-invasive</td>
<td>Poor agreement with PAC in ICU patients, intermittent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axillary</td>
<td>Non-invasive</td>
<td>Less than core body temperature, intermittent data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pass rate 60%**

**9.1 Outline how pH, PCO₂ and PO₂ are measured in a blood gas analyser and briefly state the underlying principle behind each of those measurements.**

- **pH** — glass electrode. The specimen is put in a capillary tube surrounded by buffer solution. The tube is made of pH sensitive glass across which a potential difference is generated. Measures potential difference across the electrodes.
- **PCO₂** — modified glass electrode, comprises a glass pH electrode which is in contact with a thin film of NaHCO₃ solution. This is separated from the specimen by a membrane that is permeable to CO₂ – CO₂ diffuses from specimen into the HCO₃⁻ solution where it dissociates with a change in pH which is measured by the electrode. Measures potential difference across the electrodes.
- **PO₂** — Clark electrode or polarographic electrode, polarographic or measures current generated (an amperometric system) or the current flow across the Clark electrode is determined by the PO₂ of the solution.
9.2

The above is a capnograph trace obtained from a patient in an intensive care unit.

a) What technology is used for the detection of CO₂ in expired breath in the ICU?

Infra-red absorption spectrophotometry

b) List 3 uses for capnography in intensive care

Airway disconnection alarm
Confirmation of ET tube placement in airway
During CPR to assess adequacy of cardiac compression
Recognition of spontaneous breath during apnoea test
Neurosurgical patient to provide protection against unexpected hypercapnia

c) List 3 conditions which may increase the gradient between end-tidal and arterial PCO₂?

Low cardiac output or cardiogenic shock
Pulmonary embolism
Cardiac arrest
Positive pressure ventilation and use of PEEP
High V/Q ratios.

Candidates who mention increased alveolar dead space should also get some credit.

Pass rate 43%

10. Outline and justify your approach to “clearing” the cervical spine in an adult multi-trauma patient with a severe closed head injury.

This is a controversial area with no consensus. Aim is to test understanding of literature on cervical spine injury, sensitivity and limitations of imaging, risk Vs benefits, understanding of institutional protocols and systems issues. A well reasoned and an appropriate approach would score high marks.
A suggested approach is

1. Detailed history and clinical exam with review of mechanism of injury, speed, other injuries

2. 3 view (AP, lateral and peg view) or 5 view (3 + right and left obliques) cervical spine with focused CT to missed areas or CT scan of neck from base of skull to upper thoracic vertebrae with reconstructions.

3. If CT scan normal after interpretation by specialist radiologist and ortho spine/neurosurgeon/ICU specialist then neck is “clear”.

4. MRI if clinically suspected spinal neurological injury or abnormal CT scan or very high risk cord injury (high speed, ejection from vehicle, high ISS)

5. Transfer to specialised trauma centre.

Justification

1. 5-10% of patients with a severe head injury have an associated unstable cervical fracture.

2. Clinical clearance not possible here.

3. Maintaining cervical/spinal immobility via a cervical collar until clinical clearance increases the risk of pressure areas, pneumonia and raised intracranial pressure.

4. 3 and 5 view cervical X rays are frequently of inadequate quality and detect 75-90% of unstable injuries even when of adequate quality and correctly interpreted.

5. Multislice CT scan from the base of skull to upper thoracic spine with sagittal and coronal reconstructions will detect most injuries. It may miss an unstable ligamentous injury without bone fracture (risk 1/1000). It is convenient to image the neck at the same time as the CT brain scan or other CT scans

6. MRI will detect spinal cord and soft tissue pathology such as ligamentous injury, spinal cord injury and epidural haematoma.

Additional Marks:
- Role of flexion extension views
- Requirements for clinical clearance
- Timing of clearing cervical spine Vs attending to other life threatening injuries
- Institutional Protocols
11.1 A 27 year old male with a prolonged ICU stay following a subarachnoid haemorrhage has a CSF specimen taken from his external ventricular drain.

The CSF gram stain result is:

- Red Blood Cells: $1946 \times 10^6/L$ (0-5 x $10^6/L$)
- Polymorphs: $198 \times 10^6/L$ (0-5 x $10^6/L$)
- Mononuclear cells: $74 \times 10^6/L$

Gram stain: scant gram positive cocci.

a). What is your assessment of the CSF result and provide a reason?

Ventriculitis: due to raised WCC:RCC ratio and a positive gram stain.

b). List two likely organisms commonly reported on the Gram stain in this setting.

- Staphylococcus epidermidis
- Staphylococcus aureus

c). List 2 therapies you may consider based on this report.

Removal of EVD / replacement
Vancomycin

11.2 A previously well, 19 year old female presents with fever, headache, photophobia and neck stiffness.

a). List three (3) clinical features that would indicate the need for a brain CT scan prior to lumbar puncture in this patient?

- New onset seizures
- Immunocompromised state
- Abnormal level of consciousness
- Focal neurological signs (signs suspicious of a space occupying lesion)
- History of CNS disease (mass lesion, stroke, focal infection)
- Papilloedema

b) A lumbar puncture is performed. The initial results reveal:

- Red blood cells: $356 \times 10^6/L$ (0-5 x $10^6/L$)
- Polymorphs: $3180 \times 10^6/L$ (0-5 x $10^6/L$)
- Mononuclear cells: $206 \times 10^6/L$
- Protein: 2.96 g/L (0.15 – 0.40 g/L)
- Glucose: 0.4 mmol/L (2.5 – 5.6 mmol/L)

What are the three (3) most likely causative organisms?
Neisseria meningitidis  
Streptococcus pneumoniae  
Haemophilus influenzae

c) After 24 hours of appropriate therapy she develops new onset of generalised tonic-clonic seizures. List three (3) likely intracranial causes.  
   - Raised intracranial pressure  
   - Cerebritis  
   - Cerebral abscess  
   - Septic venous thrombosis

11.3 A 66yo diabetic female with a history of a recent febrile illness now presents with increasing weakness and altered sensation in both legs. A lumbar puncture is performed and the initial CSF result is shown.

| Red blood cells | 594 x 10⁶/L   | (0-5 x 10⁶/L) |
| Polymorphs      | 550 x 10⁶/L   | (0-5 x 10⁶/L) |
| Mononuclear cells| 154 x 10⁶/L  |                 |
| Protein         | 0.99g/L       | (0.15 – 0.40g/L)|
| Glucose         | 10.4 mmol/L   | (2.5-5.6 mmol/L)|
| No organisms seen|

a). What is the most important diagnostic investigation indicated in this clinical scenario?  
   MRI of the spine

b). What is the most likely diagnosis?  
   Epidural abscess

12. A 45-year-old male is admitted from the ward to your high dependency unit for observation of his neurological state. On examination, his temperature is 38.7°C. His is confused and agitated with a GCS of 12. He has a stable respiratory and cardiovascular status. A blood culture showed Gram-positive cocci in both bottles.

His relevant background history is a splenectomy following a motor vehicle accident 20 years ago, hypertension and mild asthma.

a) What is the likely diagnosis and what other investigations would you order?  
   pneumococcal bacteraemia likely meningitis

Investigations -
urine pneumococcal antigen
CT head
Routine bloods FBC/EUC/LFTS/CMP/COAGS/BSL
Lumbar Puncture – argument can be made for performing LP after CT or not performing an LP at all. Both responses are acceptable.
PCR for pneumococcus

b) Outline your specific treatment for this condition

1) Empirical antibiotics
ideally within 30 minutes
3rd generation cephalosporin Ceftriaxone 2 gm BD or cefotaxime 2gm tds
Plus Vancomycin 1 gm BD / 500 tds
Then target Pen 1.8 gm 4 hour if MIC < .125 gm/l  Cease Vancomycin if sensitive

2) Dexamethasone before or with antibiotics. Risk with altering vancomycin penetration for 4 days. 0.15gm /KG max 10 gm for 4 days.

c) list 5 predisposing factors for this condition

- Extreme of age <2 or >65
- Chronic lung disease
- Asplenia both functional and anatomic
- Immunosuppression
- Transplant patients
- CSF leaks
- Cochlear implants

d) what prophylactic measures could have been taken to prevent this condition in this patient ?

Vaccination 14 days before or 14 days post splenectomy (early vaccination not immunogenic)
Re vaccinate 5 year intervals
Patient’s penicillin/ amp daily for 2 years after splenectomy
Antibiotics empirically if he develops temperature. (eg Augmentin)
In this patient consider life long antibiotics orally

Pass rate 64%
13. Compare and contrast the pharmacology of dobutamine and levosimendan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>LEVOSIMENDAN</th>
<th>DOBUTAMINE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacokinetics</td>
<td>Slower onset of action (requires loading dose) t&lt;sub&gt;1/2&lt;/sub&gt; of parent compound 1 h Metabolised by conjugation and acetylation Active metabolite with t&lt;sub&gt;1/2&lt;/sub&gt; 80-96 h (Effects persist once infusion ceased for up to 7-10 days)</td>
<td>Onset of action in 1 – 2 min t&lt;sub&gt;1/2&lt;/sub&gt; &lt; 3 min Metabolised by methylation and conjugation Excreted in urine and bile Inactive metabolites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanism of action</td>
<td>Increases myocyte sensitivity to calcium by binding to troponin C Opens ATP-dependant K&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; channels in vascular smooth muscle</td>
<td>Selective beta-1 and beta-2 receptor agonist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapeutic effects</td>
<td>Increased cardiac contractility Coronary, systemic and pulmonary vasodilation Reduced preload and afterload without impairing diastolic relaxation</td>
<td>Increased cardiac contractility Mild peripheral vasodilation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverse effects</td>
<td>Hypotension Headache</td>
<td>Drug-induced myocardial ischaemia Rate/ rhythm disturbances Hypotension Hypertension in XS dose Tachyphylaxis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contraindications</td>
<td>Hypersensitivity to levosimendan LV outflow tract obstruction Severe renal or hepatic failure Severe hypotension and tachycardia History of torsade de pointes</td>
<td>Hypersensitivity to dobutamine LV outflow tract obstruction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pass rate 34%
14. List the possible causes of an altered swallowing reflex in a critically ill patient, and outline how you could assess this.

**Causes:**

- **Iatrogenic** - Medications – chemotherapy, antihistaminics, neuroleptics. Trauma - TOE, intubation, tracheostomy
- **Infectious** - candidial mucositis. Metabolic – thyrotoxicosis, Cushing’s
- **Myopathic** – myasthenia gravis, connective tissue disorders, myotonic dystrophy
- **Neurological** – severe head injury, stroke, Guillain-Barre syndrome. Structural - Zenker's diverticulum, Oropharyngeal and oesophageal tumours.

**Assessment:**

- **History** – hoarseness, weak cough – vocal cord palsy, slurred speech, nasal regurgitation – neuromuscular. Odynophagia – infections, malignancy
- **Bedside assessment by speech therapist** – coordination of swallowing, aspiration of dye (methylene blue).
- **Nasopharyngeal laryngoscopy** – visual inspection oropharynx, vocal cords for anatomical abnormality.
- **Video fluoroscopy** – accurately analyses aspiration, pooling of secretions and movements of muscles during swallowing.
- **Barium swallow** – identifies anatomical abnormalities – diverticuli, tumours, Upper GI endoscopy.

15.1 The following information was obtained during the insertion of a right heart catheter

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RA</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>mm Hg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV</td>
<td>105/14</td>
<td>mmHg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>33/18</td>
<td>mmHg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAOP</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>mmHg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>L/min/m2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) What dominant abnormality is indicated by the right heart catheter data?
   Pressure gradient between the RV and PA

b) List two (2) likely causes of the abnormality
pulmonary valve stenosis
supravalvular or RVOT stenosis

15.2 List 5 causes of a mixed venous oxygen saturation (SvO2) recording of 86%

- Septic shock
- Left to right shunt
- High FIO2
- Hyperbaric oxygenation
- Measurement error (poor calibration)
- Reduced oxygen consumption – Hypothermia, NM blockade, hypothyroidism, general anaesthesia

15.3 Examine the ECG provided below

A print out of an ECG provided

a) Describe the abnormalities on the ECG
   - Tachycardia
   - Intraventricular conduction defect probably RBBB
   - Widespread ST elevation

b) List 2 potential causes
   - Acute myocardial infarction (extensive)
   - Maybe myopericarditis

Pass rate 51%

16. Outline the risks specifically associated with Magnetic Resonance Imaging of critically ill patients (you do not have to outline risks associated with transport to and from the scanner).

General risks of MRI

- Magnetic field induced movement of ferromagnetic objects
  - *Ferrous Projectiles accelerating into scanner causing trauma*
  - *Movement of ferrous implants and prostheses*
  - *Movement of metallic foreign bodies, such as foreign bodies in the eye*
Others

* Malfunction/failure of pacemaker/ IAD

**Specific risks with the critically ill patient**
* Cold environment and prolonged exposure time away from ICU due to length of time it takes to do an MRI

* Patient inaccessibility

* Monitoring and ventilation equipment is limited and needs to be MRI compatible, often sub-optimal

* Unable to take resuscitation equipment into “magnet zone”

* Infusions may be difficult to run – MRI compatible pumps that can be used in “magnet zone” are not widely available. Long tubing can be used.

* Gadolinium exacerbation of nephrogenic systemic fibrosis

Potential risk of hyperthermia in patients with disordered thermal regulation (ie all critically ill patients)

Potential for burns (ECG dots)

Pass rate 62%

17. **Outline the clinical manifestations, appropriate investigations, and treatment of acalculous cholecystitis.**

Clinical presentation is variable and all signs and investigations lack sensitivity and specificity. Symptoms/signs include fever, leukocytosis with a left shift, abdominal pain, right upper quadrant mass, hyperbilirubinaemia, increased alkaline phosphatase and serum transaminases.

Additional investigations (assuming full blood count and liver function tests have already been performed) should include: ultrasonography (may be diagnostic) +/- CT abdomen and blood cultures. HIDA scans are reported to be useful in cases when diagnoses can’t be established with certainty.

Treatment involves broad spectrum antibiotics, though the definitive treatment is drainage. Percutaneous drainage (via ultrasound guidance) may be performed if the patient is too sick to transport, otherwise invasive techniques (laparoscopic or open) may be considered.

Pass rate 91%
18.1 An 81 year old woman is admitted to the ICU with a 24 hour history of altered mental state and confusion. She has a history of type II diabetes managed with metformin. The following blood results were taken on admission.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arterial blood</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Reference values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.30</td>
<td>7.36-7.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCO₂</td>
<td>31 mmHg (4.0 kPa)</td>
<td>40 mmHg (5.3-5.7 kPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO₂</td>
<td>90 mmHg (12.0 kPa)</td>
<td>80-100 mmHg (10.5-13.0 kPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCO₃⁻</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22-33 mmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na⁺</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>135 -145 mmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K⁺</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.2-4.5 mmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl⁻</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>100-110 mmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>3.0-8.0 mmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>50-100 micromol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3.0-7.8 mmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactate</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>&lt; 2 mmol/L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Which clinical condition is most consistent with the above data? - Justify your answer from the results provided.

Answer: Non ketotic hyper osmolar state

- Marked hyperglycaemia (higher than usually observed DKA) plasma glucose may be >55 mmol/L.
- Hyperosmolarity (by definition osmolarity should be >320)
- Relatively normal pH/HCO₃⁻ suggesting non ketotic state. A small anion gap acidosis may be present secondary to lactate.

b. List 3 complications of this condition.

- Cerebral oedema:
- Vascular thrombosis:
- Electrolyte derangements in particular hypokalemia, dysnatraemia.
- Hyperchloremia from saline administration.
- Intercurrent events such as sepsis, aspiration, myocardial infarction, iatrogenic (eg vascular access related complication)
- Hypotension and shock due to intravascular volume depletion or inadequate resuscitation.
A 63 year old patient with a background of type II diabetes had vascular surgery 24 hours previously. His post operative course has been uncomplicated except for consistently elevated serum potassium measurements. He is not receiving supplemental potassium and vital recordings have been stable. His most recent arterial blood gases and plasma biochemistry are presented below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arterial blood</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Reference range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>7.36-7.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCO₂</td>
<td>24 mmHg (3.2 kPa)</td>
<td>40 mmHg (5.3-5.7 kPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO₂</td>
<td>90 mmHg (12.0 kPa)</td>
<td>80-100 mmHg (10.5-13.0 kPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCO₃⁻</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22-33 mmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na⁺</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>135 -145 mmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K⁺</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>3.2-4.5 mmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.0-8.0 mmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>50-100 umol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl⁻</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>100-110 mmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35-50 G/L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) Describe the acid base abnormality

This is a normal anion gap metabolic acidosis.

b) List three causes of the acid-base abnormality.

The major causes are:

- Loss of bicarbonate (e.g. diarrhoea, pancreatic biliary drainage and urinary diversions (ureterosigmoidostomy)
- Renal tubular acidosis (RTA).
- Other: saline loading, TPN and cholestyramine use.

c) What is the most likely cause of the abnormality in this patient?

Type 4 RTA.

You are called to the Emergency Department to review a nulliparous 28 year old woman. She is currently 35 weeks pregnant, and has presented with 72 hours of nausea and vomiting accompanied by epigastric and right upper quadrant pain. On examination she was jaundiced, confused and had a blood pressure of 120/70. Laboratory results from a venous blood taken on arrival are shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Venous Blood</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Reference range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Na⁺</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>135 -145 mmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K⁺</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.2-4.5 mmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.0-8.0 mmol/L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Creatinine 245 50-100 micromol/L  
Albumin 30 33-40g/L  
Glucose 2.5 3.0-7.8mmol/L  
Bilirubin (total) 142 <20micromol/L  
ALP 293 32-156 U/L  
AST 99 <31U/L  
ALT 88 <34U/L  
GGT 67 <38U/L  
LDH 180 110-250U/L  
Uric acid 0.72 0.15-0.5 mmol/L  
APTT 45 36-38 sec  
INR 2.8 <1.2  
Platelets 123 150-450x10^9/L  

List 3 likely differential diagnoses for the above history and laboratory data

A number of differentials are possible however in the third trimester in a nulliparous woman the three main considerations are:

- Acute fatty liver of pregnancy (AFLP):
- HELLP (Haemolysis, elevated liver enzymes and low platelets) Syndrome:
- Pre eclampsia with hepatic involvement.

Other considerations are: (these are not the cause of severe hepatic failure in pregnancy and so will attract fewer marks if mentioned without the first three)

- Intrahepatic Cholestasis of Pregnancy:
- Viral hepatitis: The commonest cause of jaundice in pregnancy. May occur at any time. The ALT and AST would be expected to be greatly elevated (>500-1000U/L). DIC is rare.

Pass rate 87%
19. Compare Continuous Venovenous Haemofiltration (CVVHF), Sustained Low Efficiency Dialysis (SLED) and Intermittent hemodialysis (IHD with respect to
a) mechanism of solute clearance
b) advantages and
c) disadvantages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CVVHF</th>
<th>SLED</th>
<th>IHD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Mechanism of solute clearance</td>
<td>- Solvent removal occurs as a consequence of a pressure gradient across a semi permeable membrane. Solute removal occurs only by convection (solvent drag).</td>
<td>- Solute removal occurs predominantly by diffusion down a concentration gradient created by dialysate fluid on the other side of the semi permeable membrane.</td>
<td>- Solute clearance by diffusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Advantages</td>
<td>Achieves better clearance of middle molecules (&lt; 15 Kd) than CVVHD/IHD, fluid management easier and flexible, lesser hemodynamic instability as compared to IHD.</td>
<td>- Can be done at night so patient can be mobilized during the day. Period of anticoagulation reduced. Possible cost savings by using online water and ability for one machine to deliver 2 treatment episodes per day.</td>
<td>- shortest treatment time, anticoagulation often not required, cost savings by using online water.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Limitations</td>
<td>- Patient immobilized, need for continuous anticoagulation, higher nursing requirement</td>
<td>- inferior clearance of middle molecules, reduced fluid management flexibility. Higher risk of disequilibrium syndrome.</td>
<td>- least clearance of middle molecules, least flexible fluid management, highest risk of disequilibrium syndrome. Possible greater</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
20.1. Examine the clinical photograph. (Colour photograph provided)

a) List the abnormalities visible in this patient’s hands.
b) What is the most likely diagnosis?
c) List 4 other clinical features associated with this condition?

a) 
- Evidence of Raynaud’s or ischaemia with pallor of digits,
- Sclerodactaly
- Calcinosis,
- Multiple amputations of distal digits
- Distal ulceration with gangrene
- Fixed flexion deformity of ring finger right hand.

b) Systemic sclerosis or Scleroderma

c) 
- Telangiectasia,
- Fine creps bibasally due to lower lobe fibrosis,
- Beaking of the nose
- Limitation of mouth opening
- Thickening of the skin and pigmentation changes
- Features consistent with Pulmonary H/T,
- Sjogrens syndrome
- Oesophageal involvement
- Renal failure

20.2 This previously well 4 year old child originally presented with fever and a cough. He was given Paracetamol, Ibuprofen and a cough mixture obtained from the chemist. Despite this he deteriorated and developed a progressive rash and skin lesions

Colour photograph provided

a) What is the character of the rash and skin lesions?
b) Based on the history and the clinical photograph, list 3 differential diagnoses?
c) How would you investigate this condition?

a) The rash is
- Erythematous,
- Patchy
- Widespread
- Bullous formation or blistering

b) Top 3 differentials
- Stevens – Johnson syndrome (erythema multiforme major)
- Drug eruption
- Bullous pemphigoid

Less likely, but worthy of some marks
- Staphylococcal scalded skin syndrome
- Toxic shock syndrome
- Purpura fulminans

c)
- History in particular recent drug exposure, other symptoms of infective aetiology, Exposure to infected children
- FBC, UEC, LFTs, CRP, COAGs
- Serology – Mycoplasma and varicella
- Skin biopsy
- Blood cultures
- MC&S of bullae

Pass rate 70%

21. What do you understand by the term “Damage Control Surgery” (DCS) in relation to abdominal trauma? What important complications may occur following the initial admission to ICU after DCS?

Key feature. Damage Control Surgery involves a 4 phase approach to major emergency abdominal injuries:

- Recognition of at risk patient
- Limited, focused surgery for control of haemorrhage and address contamination with temporary abdominal closure,
• restoration of near normal physiology – cardiovascular resuscitation, rewarming (usually active) if hypothermic, correction of coagulopathy (blood products and aFVII) and acidosis. – with optimization of ventilation and
• re laparotomy at 24 – 36 hours with removal of packs, definitive surgery and formal abdominal closure, where possible.

Important complications

New onset or uncontrolled surgical bleeding
Abdominal compartment syndrome (ACS), inability to wake and wean (open abdomen / planned return to theatre)
missed injuries in the multiply injured patient (need for full examination on admission)

Pass rate 68%

22.1 A 45 year old man received an allogeneic bone marrow transplant for Acute Lymphatic Leukaemia. 26 days after the transplant the patient developed severe gastroenteritis and a maculopapular skin rash and respiratory insufficiency. The following investigations were performed:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hb</th>
<th>94 G/L</th>
<th>(110-150)</th>
<th>Na (mmol/L)</th>
<th>132</th>
<th>135-145</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WCC</td>
<td>2.3 x 10⁹/L</td>
<td>(4.0-10.0)</td>
<td>K ( mmol/L)</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.5-.5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets</td>
<td>54 x 10⁹/L</td>
<td>(150-300)</td>
<td>Urea (mmol/L)</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>4.0-6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Creatinine (mmol/L)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.04-0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bilirubin (micromol/L)</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>&lt;25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Alkaline phosphatase</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>&lt;125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ALT</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>&lt;40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AST</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>&lt;40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coagulation profile - normal

Stool: Microscopy: WCC ++ Cultures: No growth
C.difficile toxin- Not detected

a) List 3 possible diagnoses.

Sepsis
CMV
GVH
Over the next 3 weeks, the patient developed generalized oedema predominantly in the trunk and lower extremities. An ultrasound Doppler study of the abdomen revealed dilated portal vein and inferior vena cava. The right atrial pressures were normal.

**Portal pressure** 18 mm Hg (8 – 10)
**Infrahepatic IVC** 20 mm Hg (9-11)
**Hepatic vein** 8 mm Hg (9-10)
**Suprahepatic IVC** 8 mm Hg (7-8)
**Right atrium** 6 mm Hg

b) What is the likely explanation for these findings?

Veno-occlusive disease of the liver

c) Name 2 treatment measures for the diagnosis in b)

TIPS procedure
Diuretics
Fluid restriction

22.2 A previously healthy 34 year old woman is transferred to your hospital intubated and ventilated with a history of a prolonged generalized tonic-clonic convulsion. On arrival, she is deeply unconscious with a GCS of 3, fixed dilated pupils, absent tendon reflexes and bilateral up-going plantar reflexes. An admission CT scan shows bilateral intracerebral haemorrhages. A full blood count report is as follows:

1) Hb 78 g/l    (130-150),
2) WCC 14.5 x 10⁶/mm³    (4.0-11.0),
3) Platelets 43 x 10⁶/mm³    (150-300)

**Blood picture:** Thrombocytopenia, fragmented cells and reticulocytosis

Based on the history, CT scan and the hematology report, provide three possible differential diagnoses

Eclampsia
Thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura
HUS
Meningococcal meningitis with DIC …(although big bleed is unlikely)
Vasculitis.

Pass rate 49%
23. In the context of a randomised control trial comparing a trial drug with placebo:

a) briefly explain the following terms:
   - Type 1 error
   - Type 2 error
   - Study power
   - Effect size

b) List the factors that influence sample size.

Type 1 error
The null hypothesis is incorrectly rejected. Type 1 errors may result in the implementation of therapy that is in fact ineffective or a false positive test result.

Type 2 error
The null hypothesis is incorrectly accepted. Type 2 errors may result in rejection of effective treatment strategies or a false negative test result.

Study power
Power is equal to $1 - \beta$. Thus if $\beta = 0.2$, the power is 0.8 and the study has 80% probability of detecting a difference if one exists.

Effect size
Effect size ($\Delta$) is the clinically significant difference the investigator wants to detect between the study groups. This is arbitrary but needs to be reasonable and accepted by peers. It is harder to detect a small difference than a large difference. The effect size helps us to know whether the difference observed is a difference that matters.

Factors influencing sample size
- Selected values for significance level, $\alpha$, power $\beta$ and effect size $\Delta$ (smaller values mean larger sample size)
- Variance /SD in the underlying population (larger variance means larger sample size)

24. What general guidelines will you use when administering a fluid challenge for hemodynamic instability to a critically ill patient? In your answers, list the parameters, which may be used to predict fluid responsiveness in critical illness.

This area remains controversial with, in reality, no single correct answer. Examiners expected and were prepared to accept a range of approaches (if reasonable)

a) The type of fluid – crystalloid/colloid. No ideal fluid in all clinical settings.
In general no differences in mortality in critically ill patients, between crystalloids and colloids (SAFE study). However in subgroups, albumin may be useful (sepsis) whilst in neurotrauma, crystalloids may be preferable.

b) Rate of fluid administration (250-500 ml of colloid /500-1000 ml of crystalloid or 20 ml/kg of crystalloid over 30 min.)

Again, no hard data exist to support either regime, but these are rules of thumb and recommended in the Surviving Sepsis Campaign Guidelines.

c) A clear defined goal such as a MAP/Urine output or resolution of tachycardia – commonly used goals in clinical practice.

d) Defining safety limits – such as an upper limit or an increment of CVP/ PAWP

Although no criteria for the above end points exist, an increment in CVP 2-5 mm Hg and PCWP 3-7 mm Hg in 30 min or earlier should be used as an indication to cease fluid challenge. In the absence of invasive monitoring, measurement of JVP and signs of pulmonary oedema should be looked for.

Parameters predicting fluid responsiveness

1) Clinical endpoints such as collapsed veins and state of peripheral circulation not sensitive.
2) CVP / PCWP changes poor predictors

Other end points have been proposed:

a) Systolic pressure variation with respiration
b) Pulse pressure variation with respiration
c) Stroke volume variation with respiration
d) Aortic blood velocity variation with respiration
e) Intra-thoracic blood volume
f) Respiratory variation in SVC / IVC diameter
g) Haemodynamic responses to passive leg raising.

None of the above has been shown to be a reliable predictor, although the haemodynamic response to passive leg raising is thought to be more sensitive than the rest. The reliability of some of these end points are also influenced by the presence of positive pressure ventilation

Pass rate 34%

25.1. An 82 year old woman presents with fever, seizures and a history of anorexia, diarrhoea and vomiting.

Following a normal CT scan of head, a lumbar puncture is performed.

The immediate results are as follows:
CSF slightly turbid in appearance.
300 polymorphs/mm³, 240 monocytes/mm³
Glucose 2.5mmol/l
Protein 0.6 g/l (0.2-0.4 g/l).

a) What is the likely diagnosis?
Meningitis./meningoencephalitis

b) The microbiologist rings to inform you that the gram stain demonstrates numerous small non-branching Gram-positive bacilli. What is the likely diagnosis?
Listeria monocytogenes infection

c) What are the appropriate antibiotics for this organism?
Ampicillin or Penicillin G.

d) You discover the patient is allergic to your choice of antibiotic. Suggest an alternative antibiotic.
IV Bactrim (cotrimoxazole, trimethoprim/sulphamethoxazole)/Meropenem/ Linezolid-Rifamp combination

25.2. A patient who had been on meropenem and fluconazole for 6 days for intra-abdominal sepsis developed new fevers and grew a Gram negative organism in the blood. The initial sensitivities are given below. Further sensitivity testing will take another 24 hours.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Gentamicin</th>
<th>Tobramycin</th>
<th>Ampicillin</th>
<th>Imipenem</th>
<th>Ciprofloxacin</th>
<th>Ticarcillin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gram negative</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rods</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

List 3 likely causative organisms of the new sepsis. What is an appropriate antibiotic for each of the listed organisms, whilst waiting for the final sensitivity report?

Stenotrophomonas maltophilia - Bactrim
Multi-resistant Acinetobacter – Amikacin,colistin
Multi-resistant pseudomonas / Burkholderia - Amikacin
25.3) A 36 year old cattle farmer was admitted to hospital with a flu like illness. 3 days after admission he developed arthralgia and progressive shortness of breath. There was a soft systolic murmur over the precordium. Chest X-Ray showed bilateral infiltrates. ECG showed non-specific ST-T changes. Troponin raised. Echo revealed decreased LV function. Hb 90 G/L. Reticulocytes 4% (0.5-2).

List 5 differential diagnoses for his presentation
a) Viral pneumonia
b) Legionella
c) Pneumococcal
d) Q fever
e) Mycoplasma
f) Infective endocarditis
g) Leptospirosis
h) Brucellosis
i) Vasculitis (unlikely)

26.1 A 64 year old man is admitted to ICU with a 5 day history of increasing shortness of breath, non-productive cough and acute respiratory failure. Clinical examination reveals reduced breath sounds and inspiratory and expiratory wheeze bilaterally. Chest X-Ray reveals hyperinflated lung fields. The following data are from pulmonary function testing performed 3 months ago.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Predicted</th>
<th>Pre-bronchodilator</th>
<th>Post-bronchodilator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 (L/min)</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC (L/min)</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1/FVC %</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The patient is intubated and volume-controlled ventilation instituted. The settings are SIMV, rate of 8, TV 500 ml, FIO2 1.0, PEEP 0. Three sets of ventilatory parameters are provided below. Based on the information above, select from A, B or C, which pattern will be most likely to fit with his respiratory dysfunction and explain why.
A B C
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Peak pressure</strong>&lt;br&gt; (cm water)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plateau pressure</strong>&lt;br&gt; (cm water)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Answer:** A (A high peak-plateau gradient with high peaks are consistent with obstructive lung disease)

b) The patient is intubated and volume-controlled ventilation instituted. This is the patient’s flow-volume loop. What abnormality is illustrated by the flow pattern?

![Flow-volume loop]

Expiratory flow scooped out/Increased expiratory resistance
c) This is the patient’s flow-time respiratory waveform. What abnormality is illustrated by this trace?

![Flow-Time Respiratory Waveform]

Incomplete emptying/potential for gas trapping

d) List 3 changes to the ventilator settings you could do to correct the abnormality noted in c)?

Decrease respiratory rate
Increase peak inspiratory flow
Decrease the I:E ratio (increase expiratory time//decrease inspiratory time)
A 56 year old female with septic shock and multiple organ failure is admitted to intensive care. She is endotracheally intubated and ventilated. This is the patient’s pressure-volume loop. What abnormalities does the loop indicate?

Reduced compliance / Increased resistance
26.3. Listed below are the co-oximetry data for two patients A & B. What would the pulse oximetry measured saturation be for patient A and patient B? Give reasons for your answer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Patient A</th>
<th>Patient B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oxyhaemoglobin (%)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced haemoglobin (%)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carboxyhaemoglobin (%)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patient A – 90%
Patient B - 90%

Pulse oximetry only uses 2 wavelengths and COHb is measured as OxyHb.

Pass rate 75%

27. What are the risk factors for the development of post-extubation stridor? Briefly outline the treatment of post extubation-stridor.

Risk factors:
1) Duration of IPPV > 5 days
2) Traumatic or difficult intubation
3) Prior history of self extubation
4) Trauma, surgery or infection of upper airways
5) History of agitation
6) Female sex
7) High BMI
8) Over inflated cuff
9) Older age group
10) Elevated APACHE
11) Low GCS
12) Large ETT size

Treatment:
1) Adrenaline nebs: constrict arterioles, reduce oedema, useful in acute stridor.
2) Steroids: May be more useful in prevention rather than treatment, commenced 12 hr prior to extubation (recent Lancet paper). Also useful in children
3) CPAP – relief of symptoms, reduction in work of breathing (needs to be done with caution)
4) Heliox – improved patient comfort, shown to reduce need for intubation
5) If all above fail, endotracheal intubation and ventilation

Pass rate 66%
28.1 A 53 year old patient was admitted with a GCS of 3. The GCS has remained unchanged for 24 hours. The cause of the coma is unclear. He has had no sedation or paralysis for more than 24 hours. His temperature is 36.5°C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Normal Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Na+</td>
<td>149 mmol/L</td>
<td>(135-145)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K+</td>
<td>4.6 mmol/L</td>
<td>(3.5-5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>6.2 mmol/L</td>
<td>(4-6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver function tests</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal functions</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

You find the following on neurological examination

- Train of four neuromuscular testing – 4 twitches elicited
- Pupils (R & L) Fixed and dilated
- Corneals, conjunctivals Absent
- Oculocephalic Absent
- Vestibuloocular Absent
- Facial reflexes Absent
- Cough and Gag Absent
- Spontaneous respiration Absent

Arterial blood gases during apnoea test:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Normal Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCO₂</td>
<td>65 mm Hg</td>
<td>(8.75kPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO₂</td>
<td>146 mm Hg</td>
<td>(19.4 kPa)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What is your assessment of the neurological status and why?

Patient clearly has no evidence of brain stem reflexes, however, can’t be declared brain dead as there is no known cause of coma.

28.2 A 56 year old man was admitted to your ICU for monitoring of his deteriorating conscious state following an acute thrombotic stroke involving the carotid territory. Over the next 6 hours, the patient’s conscious state has improved significantly. However, you are notified of an abnormal coagulation result from a sample taken at 6 hours after admission to intensive care. There are no signs of bleeding.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>6 hours</th>
<th>Reference Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>(12-16 sec)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APTT</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>(32-36 sec)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INR</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>(12-14 sec)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>(150-300 X 109/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrinogen</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>(2.5-4 G/L)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
28.3 List 4 causes of an elevated serum ammonia concentration in critically ill patients
Hepatic failure
Inherited disorders of urea cycle
Drugs: Valproate, glycine, carbamezapine
Porta-systemic shunts
Increased protein load: GI bleed, TPN,
Infection with urease splitting organisms – proteus
Gastric bypass, urinary diversion procedures
Cancers – myeloma
Chemotherapy.

29.1 Besides history and clinical examination, what investigations may help distinguish between cardiac and non-cardiac causes of pulmonary oedema in the critically ill patient?

1) Measurement of PCWP and CI
2) Serum BNP
3) Echocardiography
4) PICCO

29.2 A 70 year old man is admitted with shortness of breath and respiratory failure to the intensive care unit. A systolic murmur is audible on examination. A chest X-ray reveals upper lobe diversion of pulmonary veins. A transthoracic echo reveals the following. (abnormal values marked with an asterix)

OBSERVATIONS:

TRICUSPID VALVE: Normal
PULMONIC VALVE: Normal
RIGHT VENTRICLE: Normal size and function
RIGHT ATRIUM/IVC: Normal
MITRAL VALVE: Normal

*LEFT VENTRICULAR EVALUATION
Normal LV size. Moderate to severe impairment of systolic function. EF 25%. No regional wall motion abnormalities. Moderate LV hypertrophy.
*LEFT ATRIUM: Mildly enlarged.

*AORTIC VALVE:* Thickened and calcified, with reduced opening. No aortic regurgitation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Normal Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aortic valve area</td>
<td>0.68 cm²</td>
<td>(2-4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Left ventricular outflow tract:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum velocity</td>
<td>0.55 m/s</td>
<td>(0.8 – 1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velocity time integral (VTI)</td>
<td>8.58 cm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aortic valve</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum velocity</td>
<td>2.93 m/s</td>
<td>(&lt;2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velocity time integral (VTI)</td>
<td>43 cm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max pressure gradient</td>
<td>34 mm Hg</td>
<td>(&lt;16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean pressure gradient</td>
<td>18 mm Hg</td>
<td>(&lt;10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimensionless severity index (DSI)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the above information, what is the likely underlying diagnosis responsible for this patient’s symptoms? Comment on the severity of the underlying diagnosis and provide reasons for your answer.

Severe aortic stenosis (2.0) with impaired LV systolic function.
Reasons: Valve area less than 0.7 cm² (1.5) and DSI less than 0.2.

Pressure gradients may be low in the presence of LV dysfunction

Pass rate 79%
A 55 year old man has been admitted to your unit with 60% burns involving his face, chest, upper and lower limbs and torso. He has had some debridement and grafting of his burn sites. Ten days after admission, after return from theatre following a debridement, he is noted to be hypotensive with a blood pressure of 85/50 mm Hg. Briefly outline the causes and the management of his hypotension.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Possible causes</th>
<th>Investigation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Ongoing fluid shifts and evaporative fluid losses from raw surfaces</td>
<td>1) Clinical assessment of fluid balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Ongoing SIRS</td>
<td>2) Assessment of filling pressures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Bacteremia from operative stimulation. Sepsis – burn site, line sepsis,</td>
<td>3) Septic screen - to include burn biopsies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nosocomial sepsis, deep seated muscle sepsis, high risk of fungal sepsis,</td>
<td>4) Hb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>endocarditis</td>
<td>5) ECG, troponin, Echo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) Bleeding - from surgical and burn sites,</td>
<td>6) Screen for anaphylaxis – mast cell tryptase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5) Anaphylactic reactions to drugs</td>
<td>7) CTPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6) Pneumothorax</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less likely</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7) Incidental PE (in hospital for 10 days)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8) Myocardial dysfunction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9) GI bleed from stress ulcers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10) Adrenal insufficiency described with burns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Treatment

1) Depends on cause
2) Fluid bolus +/- inotropes – usually norad
3) Line change if indicated
4) Broad spectrum Gram positive and gram negative cover if sepsis is deemed likely. +/- fungal cover
5) PRBC as required
6) Targeted therapy for PE /anaphylaxis

Pass rate 77%
VIVAS

VIVA 1

A 58 year old man is admitted to the Intensive Care Unit, intubated and ventilated. Haemodynamic monitors have been inserted and the following haemodynamic measurements have been recorded:

- Mean arterial pressure: 53 mmHg
- Central venous pressure: 15 mmHg
- Cardiac output: 6.8 L/min
- [Cardiac index: 3.8 L/min/m²]

1. Describe this circulatory disturbance.

The rest of the questions focused on the rational approach to uses of inotropes and vasopressor including a discussion of some of the recent evidence.

VIVA 2

Mr Gere, a previously well 47 yo male, has been admitted to the ICU for 5 days with severe sepsis secondary to a perforated sigmoid colon. He had a sigmoid colectomy and washout of his peritoneum, and appropriate antibiotic therapy. His initial course was complicated by severe septic shock and multi-organ failure that is now resolving. He is currently ventilated via an oral endotracheal tube, on SIMV with a rate of 16, TV of 700 ml, PEEP of 5 cm H₂O and FIO₂ of 0.45. He is receiving a small dose of fentanyl and propofol, but is awake and co-operative although he has generalised weakness. His arterial blood gas result is shown below:

- pH: 7.32
- PaO₂: 85 mmHg \((11.3 \text{ Kpa})\)
- PaCO₂: 45 mmHg \((6 \text{ Kpa})\)
- HCO₃⁻: 18 mmol/L \((24 - 32 \text{mmol/L})\)
- BE: -4.9 mmol/L \((-2.0 \text{ to } 2.0 \text{mmol/L})\)

1. How will you assess whether Mr Gere is ready to be extubated?

The rest of the questions focused on the approach to weaning a ventilated critically ill patient including a discussion on the various modes of weaning, timing of tracheostomy etc.
VIVA 3

A 60 year old man has been transferred to your ICU from another hospital ICU, where he had been admitted 10 days ago with severe pancreatitis and requiring mechanical ventilation. His oral intake was said to be poor during the week leading up to that hospital admission.

1. How would you assess his nutritional state?

The rest of the questions focussed on the route of administration of feeds, calculation of caloric requirements, and the role of immunonutrition.

VIVA 4

A 63 year old woman was admitted to the Intensive Care Unit 4 days ago. She has suffered a cardiac arrest in the community, was resuscitated by Ambulance Officers and was treated with urgent cardiac angiography and stenting of a significant left main coronary artery lesion.

Her clinical condition:
- On moderate sedation, she has started to obey commands this morning
- Intubated and ventilated since admission. Currently FiO2 = 0.6, PaO2 = 120
- Right internal jugular central line, left radial arterial line, right cephalic vein peripheral IV line – all inserted on admission
- She is being treated with clopidogrel, ranitidine and intravenous heparin.
- She has been in atrial fibrillation since admission

This morning she developed a temperature of 38.8C.

What are the likely causes of fever in this lady?

The rest of the question focused on the approach to the febrile critically ill patient.

VIVA 5

A 26 year old male is a passenger in a high speed motor vehicle crash. At the scene his Glasgow coma score is 3 and he is intubated by paramedics and transferred to your hospital. In the emergency room, clinical assessment and radiological investigations are consistent with an isolated severe traumatic brain injury. He is transferred to the ICU.

1) Define severe traumatic brain injury

The rest of the questions focussed on the evidence based approach to ICP and CPP management.
**VIVA 6**

You are the intensivist caring for a patient Mr James Wilson, a 70 year old man admitted to your ICU last night with aspiration pneumonitis associated with a bowel obstruction. He had been in hospital for 4 days prior to admission to the ICU. He is sedated, intubated, ventilated on 80% oxygen and requiring inotropic / vasopressor support.

At the morning hand-over round you are told of an attempt by the new night ICU Registrar to insert an internal jugular central venous catheter just an hour ago that has resulted in technical difficulties with the guidewire being accidentally pushed into the venous circulation. It is seen on X-ray to be in the right atrium, ventricle and pulmonary artery.

The patient's son/daughter has arrived and wishes to speak to someone about their father's condition. You are asked to enter this room and update the patient's relative about the condition of their father.

**VIVA 7**

Radiology station.

Six sets of X-Rays (4 chest X-Rays, 1 CT abdomen and 1 MRI brain) were shown to the candidates and required to identify major radiological findings.

**VIVA 8**

Procedure Station

You have decided to initiate CVVHDF in a septic patient with acute renal failure and the following biochemistry:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Normal Range</th>
<th>On Admission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Na (mmol/L)</td>
<td>135 – 145</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K (mmol/L)</td>
<td>3.5 – 4.5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea (mmol/L)</td>
<td>3 – 8</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine (umol/L)</td>
<td>50 – 100</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphate (mmol/L)</td>
<td>0.7 – 1.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactate (mmol/L)</td>
<td>0.2 – 2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Please choose the filter that you will use in a CVVHDF circuit for this patient?

Name some advantages of the filter you have chosen?

The rest of the questions focused on circuit set up, discussion of pre-dilution, choice of dialysate, trouble shooting various alarms and interpretation of raised urea creatinine ratio.

**CLINICAL SECTION**

Case 1: A 77 yr old man admitted headache, blurred vision and left sided weakness. Patient had had a previous prosthetic mitral valve replacement.

Candidates were asked to make a neurological assessment and proceed with general examination as required.

Case 2: A 73 year old man with MODS following a recent staphylococcal bacteremia. Other findings included evidence of a septic circulation on a PA catheter, PPM, fluid overload, and evidence of multi-organ dysfunction.

Case 3: A 71 year old man post major abdominal vascular surgery, still ventilated and evidence of impaired gas exchange, renal dysfunction, increased lung water and multi-organ dysfunction.

Case 4: A 50 year old man with a background h/o of Hodgkin’s disease for which he received radiation therapy, was admitted following a cardiac arrest. Recently, prior to present admission, he was in ICY for a month following a cardiac surgical procedure. The problem presented was difficulty in weaning.

Findings included – poor respiratory complicance, pericardiostomy scar, anuric renal failure, tracheostomy, and pleural effusions evident on CT chest.

Case 5: A 77 year old man with a history of DM and myasthenia gravis was found collapsed at home. He was presented a patient who is now slow to wean.

Findings included evidence of global muscle weakness, pulmonary hypertension on a PAC, moderate TR, inotrope requirement, and dialysis dependent renal failure.

Case 6: A 74 year old lady was presented as a failure to wean after admission to ICU following a collapse.

Findings included: a prosthetic AVR, Rt. Pleural effusion, and global muscle weakness.

Case 7: A 33 year old man with a history of schizophrenia was found unresponsive at home in a pool of vomit and faeces. He has been slow to wake up.
Findings: A ventilated patient, low GCS, pressure areas, biochemical features of rhabdomyolysis and an old infarct on a CT scan.

Case 8: A 42 yr old male post intracerebral hemorrhage due to untreated hypertension. Directed to examine as per normal daily ward round.

Findings: EVD, blood stained CSF, hemiparesis, LLL collapse on CXR, morbid obesity and febrile

Case 9: A 32 yr old lady with vertebral artery dissection and SAH. Candidates were asked to perform a neurological examination.

Case 10: A 64 year old male with GB syndrome in ICU for 2 months, ventilator dependant. Candidates were asked to examine and determine diagnosis and problems related to long term ICU stay.

Case 11: 71 yr old admitted 10 days ago admitted with acute respiratory failure. Assess suitability for weaning.

Case 12: A 64 yr old man with cardiogenic shock following a cardiac arrest. Findings included a dilated L.pupil, raised A-a gradient, CRRT and a broad complex rhythm.

Case 13:A 42 yr old female following an MVA and chest trauma. Patient presented with hypotension and respiratory difficulty. Candidates asked to assess cardio respiratory system.

Case 14: A 32 yr old lady admitted with isolated head injury and candidates asked to assess neurology.

Case 15: 62 year old male, 5 days in ICU post resuscitated cardiac arrest and candidates asked to assess neurology, discuss prognostication and management plan over the next few days.

Case 16: A 58 yr old man – 4 days in ICU, following a perforated sigmoid diverticulum for which he had undergone a Hartmann’s procedure. He was on chronic steroid therapy. Issues: AF, gastroparesis, absent BS, globally weak, ongoing temperatures and cushingoid features.

Case 17: 52 yeard old male, admitted with pneumonia, bilateral infiltrates, worsening respiratory function, empyema, decortication and slow respiratory wean.

Case 18: 64 year old lady with ruptured oesophagus after vomiting. Currently sedated and ventilated. No candidate noted a left thoracotomy wound
Case 19: 65 year old male, 1 day in ICU post resuscitated cardiac arrest and candidates asked to assess neurology, discuss prognostication and management plan over the next few days. Active hypothermia, IABP, no corneals, gag or cough reflexes.
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